TABLES

TABLE 1
PARKING SUPPLY AND DEMAND ESTIMATES

		With 800-Space	With 1,000-Space
Potential Parking Supply	Current Site Plan	Structure	Structure
Eastern End	15	15	15
Western End	212	212	212
Central Area	<u>385</u>	800	<u>1,000</u>
Total Spaces	612	1,027	1,227
·		·	·
		With 800-Space	With 1,000-Space
Estimated Parking Demand		Structure	Structure
Maximum number of students in o	lass on-site [1]	480	480
Maximum number of faculty on-sit	e [1]	16	16
Staff [1]		15	15
Additional non-faculty staff in the t	wo-story building [2]	<u>105</u>	<u>105</u>
Total Persons	, 011	616	616
Spaces per Person [3]		0.72	0.72
oparet per researcies		•	•
Total Estimated Parking Demand	for On-Site Uses	443	443
		•	
Replace Existing Shuttle Lot		428	428
Replace Existing Spillover Parking	[4] נ	80	80
Additional Remote Parking	Σ Γ. Ί	7 <u>6</u>	<u>276</u>
Total Remote Parking		584	784
1 otal Nomoto Fanding		004	704
Total Spaces On-Site		1,027	1,227
1 otal opadoo on ollo		1,021	1,441

^[1] Per conceptual class schedule with up to 16 simulaneous classes with 30 students each.

^[2] Assumed at 1 person per 300 gross square feet.

^[3] Source: "Traffic and Parking Study for the Santa Monica College Parking Structure B and Liberal Arts Building Replacement Alternatives" (Kaku Associates, Inc. October 1998).

^[4] This estimate of existing SMC-related use of parking lots along Airport Avenue not formally designated for SMC use is based on review of aerial photography taken on August 25, 2004 and September 22, 2004.

TABLE 2
LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION:
CMA METHODOLOGY

Level of Service	Intersection Capacity Utilization	Definition
А	0.000-0.600	EXCELLENT. No Vehicle waits longer than one red light and no approach phase is fully used.
В	0.610-0.700	VERY GOOD. An occasional approach phase is fully utilized; many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted within groups of vehicles.
С	0.710-0.800	GOOD. Occasionally drivers may have to wait through more than one red light; backups may develop behind turning vehicles.
D	0.810-0.900	FAIR. Delays may be substantial during portions of the rush hours, but enough lower volume periods occur to permit clearing of developing lines, preventing excessive backups.
E	0.910-1.000	POOR. Represents the most vehicles intersection approaches can accommodate; may be long lines of waiting vehicles through several signal cycles.
F	> 1.000	FAILURE. Backups from nearby locations or on cross streets may restrict or prevent movement of vehicles out of the intersection approaches. Tremendous delays with continuously increasing queue lengths

Source: Transportation Research Board *Transportation Research Circular No. 212, Interim Materials on Highway Capacity*, 1980.

TABLE 3
LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS
2000 HCM OPERATIONAL METHODOLOGY

Level of Service	Average Stopped Dealy per Vehicle (seconds)	Definition
Α	<u><</u> 10	EXCELLENT. No Vehicle waits longer than one red
		light and no approach phase is fully used.
В	>10 and <u><</u> 20	VERY GOOD. An occasional approach phase is
		fully utilized; many drivers begin to feel somewhat
		restricted within groups of vehicles.
С	>20 and <u><</u> 35	GOOD. Occasionally drivers may have to wait
		through more than one red light; backups may
		develop behind turning vehicles.
D	>35 and <55	FAIR. Delays may be substantial during portions
		of the rush hours, but enough lower volume periods
		occur to permit clearing of developing lines,
		preventing excessive backups.
E	>55 and <u><</u> 80	POOR. Represents the most vehicles intersection
		approaches can accommodate; may be long lines
		of waiting vehicles through several signal cycles.
F	>80	FAILURE. Backups from nearby locations or on
		cross streets may restrict or prevent movement of
		vehicles out of the intersection approaches.
		Tremendous delays with continuously increasing
		queue lengths

Source: Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report 209, 2000.

TABLE 4
LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS
FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of Service	Average Total Delay (seconds/vehicle)
А	≤ 10
В	> 10 and <u><</u> 15
С	> 15 and <u><</u> 25
D	> 25 and <u><</u> 35
E	> 35 and <u><</u> 50
F	> 50

Source: Transportation Research Board, *Highway Capacity Manual 2000.*

TABLE 5
EXISTING (2004) INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE

City of Santa Monica Methodology

			Existing	
Intersection	Peak Hour	Delav	V/C	LOS
				LUS
1 23rd Street &	AM	21	0.838	С
Ocean Park Boulevard	PM	45	1.045	D
2 23rd Street &	AM	**	n/a	F
Airport Avenue [a]	PM	27	n/a	D
(overall intersection operation)	AM	3	n/a	Α
(overall intersection operation)	PM	1	n/a	Α
9 Bundy Drive &	AM	24	0.650	С
Airport Avenue	PM	9	0.781	Α
13 Donald Douglas Loop &	AM	9	0.269	Α
Airport Avenue [b]	PM	9	0.285	Α

City of Los Angeles Methodology

only of 200 Angoles methodology			Existin	g
	Peak			
Intersection	Hour	Delay	or V/C	LOS
2 23rd Street &	AM	**	n/a	F
Airport Avenue [a]	PM	27	n/a	D
(overall intersection operation)	AM	1	n/a	Α
(overall intersection operation)	PM	3	n/a	Α
*3 Walgrove Avenue &	AM	1.	217	F
Rose Avenue	PM	1.	263	F
*4 Walgrove Avenue &	AM	0.	750	С
Palms Boulevard	PM	0.	892	D
*5 Bundy Drive &	AM	1.	007	F
Pico Boulevard	PM	1.	199	F
*6 Bundy Drive &	AM	0.	869	D
I-10 Freeway EB on-ramp	PM	0.	949	Е
*7 Bundy Drive &	AM	0.	900	D
Ocean Park Boulevard	PM	1.	350	F
*8 Bundy Drive &	AM	0.	978	Е
National Boulevard	PM	0.	868	D
*9 Bundy Drive &	AM	0.	734	С
Airport Avenue	PM	0.	890	D
*10 Centinela Avenue &	AM	0.	677	В
Rose Avenue	PM	0.	865	D
*11 Centinela Avenue &	AM	0.	869	D
Palms Boulevard	PM	1.	053	F
*12 Centinela Avenue &	AM	0.	974	Е
Venice Boulevard	PM	1.	073	F

Notes:

- * Intersection is currently operating under ATSAC system.
- ** Indicates oversaturated conditions. Delay cannot be calculated.
- [a] Intersection is two-way stop controlled. Level of service is based on average vehicular delay in seconds per vehicle for the most constrained approach.
 Delay is also reported for the intersection overall.
- [b] Intersection is all-way stop controlled.

TABLE 6
EXISTING (2004) DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME SUMMARY

City of Los Angeles

Location	City	Street Classification	Existing Daily	Traffic Volumes
	- ,		May 27, 2004	September 22, 2004
Centinela Avenue				
south of Airport Avenue	LA	Major	48,232	*
Walgrove Avenue				
south of Rose Avenue	LA	Collector	16,102	*
Rose Avenue				
east of Walgrove Avenue	LA	Collector	8,733	*
Beethoven Street				
south of Rose Avenue	LA	Collector	7,199	*
Rose Avenue				
east of Warren Avenue	LA	Local	3,413	*
Cabrillo Boulevard				
south of Rose Avenue	LA	Local	1,014	*
Rose Avenue				
west of Centinela Avenue	LA	Local	4,821	*

City of Santa Monica

Location	City	Street Classification	Existing Daily Traffic Volumes					
	J,		May 27, 2004	September 22, 2004				
23rd Street								
north of Airport Avenue	Santa Monica	Collector	23,958	*				
Airport Avenue								
east of 23rd Street/Walgrove Avenue	Santa Monica	Collector	4,262	4,775				
Airport Avenue								
west of Donald Douglas Loop South	Santa Monica	Collector	*	5,850				
Airport Avenue								
west of Centinela Avenue	Santa Monica	Collector	7,424	8,985				

^{*} Daily (24-hour) traffic volumes were not collected on this date.

TABLE 7
TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES - with 800 SPACE PARKING STRUCTURE
BUNDY CAMPUS

		Trip Generation Rates									Estimate	ed Trip Ger	neration		
		Daily	AM	Peak F			Peak F	lour	Daily		Peak Hour	Trips		Peak Hour	Trips
Land Use	Size	Rate	Rate	% In	% Out	Rate	% In	% Out	Trips	Total	ln	Out	Total	ln	Out
Building 4 Derived [a]	1,890 students 159 faculty 15 employees total								3,024 318 <u>30</u> 3,372	361 16 <u>15</u> 392	361 16 <u>15</u> 392	0 0 <u>0</u> 0	180 8 <u>15</u> 203	45 2 <u>0</u> 47	135 6 <u>15</u> 156
Building 2 College Admin. Building [b]	31.370 KSF	11.01	1.55	88%	12%	1.49	17%	83%	345	49	43	6	47	8	39
Remote Parking Existing Shuttle Lot [c] Ex. Use of Non-SMC Spaces [c] Total New Spaces [c] Net New Spaces	-428 parking spaces -80 parking spaces 584 parking spaces 76	3.05 3.05 3.05	0.62 0.62 0.62	96% 96% 96%	40% 40% 40%	0.33 0.33 0.33	38% 38% 38%	62% 62% 62%	(1,305) (244) <u>1,781</u> 232	(265) (50) <u>362</u> 47	(254) (48) <u>348</u> 46	(11) (2) <u>14</u> 1	(141) (26) <u>193</u> 26	(54) (10) <u>73</u> 9	(87) (16) <u>120</u> 17
TOTAL TRIPS NET INCREMENTAL TRIPS									5,498 3,949	803 488	783 481	20 7	443 276	128 64	315 212

Notes:

- a. Trip generation rates derived from the proposed SMC Sunset Vista Campus class schedule.
- b. Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Land Use 710, Trip Generation, Seventh Edition, 2003.
- c. Peak hour trip generation based on actual driveway counts taken May 27 and September 22 2004; daily rate based on relationship of peak-to-daily volumes at SMC Main Campus.

TABLE 8
TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES - with 1,000 SPACE PARKING STRUCTURE
BUNDY CAMPUS

		Trip Generation Rates									Estimate	ed Trip Ge	neration		
		Daily	AM	Peak H	lour	PM	Peak F		Daily	AM F	Peak Hour	Trips		Peak Hour	Trips
Land Use	Size	Rate	Rate	% In	% Out	Rate	% In	% Out	Trips	Total	In	Out	Total	ln	Out
Building 4															
Derived [a]	1,890 students								3,024	361	361	0	180	45	135
	159 faculty								318	16	16	0	8	2	6
	15 employees								<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u> 392	<u>0</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u> 47	<u>15</u>
	total								3,372	392	392	0	203	47	156
Building 2															
College Admin. Building [b]	31.370 KSF	11.01	1.55	88%	12%	1.49	17%	83%	345	49	43	6	47	8	39
Remote Parking															
Existing Shuttle Lot [c]	-428 parking spaces	3.05	0.62	96%	40%	0.33	38%	62%	(1,305)	(265)	(254)	(11)	(141)	(54)	(87)
Ex. Use of Non-SMC Spaces [c]	-80 parking spaces	3.05	0.62	96%	40%	0.33	38%	62%	(244)	(50)	(48)	(2)	(26)	(10)	(16)
Total New Spaces [c]	784 parking spaces	3.05	0.62	96%	40%	0.33	38%	62%	<u>2,391</u>	<u>486</u>	<u>467</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>259</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>161</u>
Net New Spaces	276								842	171	165	6	92	34	58
TOTAL TRIPS									6,108	927	902	25	509	153	356
NET INCREMENTAL TRIPS									4,559	612	600	12	342	89	253

Notes:

- a. Trip generation rates derived from the proposed SMC Sunset Vista Campus class schedule.
- b. Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Land Use 710, Trip Generation, Seventh Edition, 2003.
- c. Peak hour trip generation based on actual driveway counts taken May 27 and September 22 2004; daily rate based on relationship of peak-to-daily volumes at SMC Main Campus.

TABLE 9 PROJECTED (2008) INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE

City of Santa Monica Methodology				ALTERN	NATIVE 1					ALTER	NATIVE 2					ALTERN	IATIVE 3		
Intersection	Peak Hour		th 800-Spa king Struc			h 1,000-Sp king Struc V/C			ith 800-Spa king Struc V/C			h 1,000-Sp king Struc			th 800-Spa king Struct V/C			1,000-Sp ing Struct	
2 23rd Street &	AM	**	n/a		**	n/a		**	n/a		**	n/a		**	n/a		**	n/a	
Airport Avenue [a]	PM	19	n/a	C	19	n/a	Ċ	19	n/a	Ċ	19	n/a	C	19	n/a	C	19	n/a	Ċ
(overall intersection operation)	AM	6	n/a	A	6	n/a	A	6	n/a	A	6	n/a	A	6	n/a	A	6	n/a	A
(overall intersection operation)	PM	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α
9 Bundy Drive &	AM	48	0.722	D	50	0.722	D	49	0.719	D	51	0.721	D	49	0.718	D	51	0.719	D
Airport Avenue	PM	25	0.997	С	28	1.015	С	18	0.956	В	20	0.969	С	12	0.900	В	12	0.905	В
13 Donald Douglas Loop South &	AM	22	0.861	С	33	0.956	D	15	0.714	В	17	0.784	С	12	0.592	В	13	0.642	В
Airport Avenue [b]	PM	11	0.385	В	11	0.428	В	10	0.371	Α	10	0.384	В	9	0.338	Α	9	0.346	Α
City of Los Angeles Methodology																			
		Wi	th 800-Spa	ace	Wit	h 1,000-Sp	ace	W	ith 800-Spa	ace	Wit	h 1,000-Sp	ace	Wi	th 800-Spa	ace	With	1,000-Sp	ace
	Peak	Park	king Struc	ture	Parl	king Struc	ture	Par	Parking Structure		Parking Structure		Parking Structure		ture	Parking Structur		ure	
Intersection	Hour	Delay	or V/C	LOS	Delay	or V/C	LOS	Delay	or V/C	LOS	Delay	or V/C	LOS	Delay	or V/C	LOS	Delay	or V/C	LOS
2 23rd Street &	AM	*	*	F	*	*	F	,	**	F	,	**	F	*	*	F	**		F
Airport Avenue [a]	PM	1	9	С	1	9	С	1	19	С	1	9	С	1	9	С	19)	С
(overall intersection operation)	AM	6	3	Α	(ô	Α		6	Α		6	Α	(9	Α	6		Α
(overall intersection operation)	PM	1	1	Α		1	Α		1	Α		1	Α		1	Α	1		Α
*9 Bundy Drive &	AM	0.8	318	D	3.0	320	D	0.8	818	D	0.0	318	D	3.0	318	D	0.8	18	D
Airport Avenue	PM	1.0)77	F	1.0)94	F	1.0	047	F	1.0	061	F	0.9	992	Е	0.9	98	Е
14 Bundy Drive &	AM	1:		В		5	С		15	В	H	6	С		712	С	0.7	-	С
SMC Driveway [c]	PM	3		E	4	-2	Е	,	**	F	,	**	F	0.9	918	E	0.9	31	Е
(overall intersection operation)	AM	>	1	Α	>	1	Α		1	Α	•	1	Α						
(overall intersection operation)	PM	1	1	Α		1	Α		1	Α] :	2	Α						

				ALTERN	NATIVE 4			ALTERNATIVE 5							
City of Santa Monica Methodology											1				
			th 800-Sp			h 1,000-Sp			th 800-Spa		With 1,000-Space				
	Peak		king Struc			king Struc	ture LOS		king Struc		Parking Structure				
Intersection	Hour	Delay	V/C	LOS	Delay			Delay V/C		LOS	Delay	V/C	LOS		
2 23rd Street &	AM	**	n/a	F	**	n/a	F	**	n/a	F	**	n/a	F		
Airport Avenue [a]	PM	19	n/a	С	19	n/a	С	18	n/a	С	18	n/a	С		
(overall intersection operation)	AM	6	n/a	Α	6	n/a	Α	6	n/a	Α	6	n/a	Α		
(overall intersection operation)	PM	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α	1	n/a	Α		
9 Bundy Drive &	AM	52	0.725	D	55	0.728	D	77	0.770	Е	**	0.778	F		
Airport Avenue	PM	32	1.030	С	37	1.053	D	11	0.895	В	11	0.901	В		
13 Donald Douglas Loop South &	AM	12	0.593	В	13	0.643	В	9	0.310	Α	9	0.323	Α		
Airport Avenue [b]	PM	9	0.344	Α	9	0.352	Α	9	0.314	Α	9	0.316	Α		
City of Los Angeles Methodology															
		Wi	th 800-Sp	ace	Wit	h 1,000-Sp	ace	Wi	th 800-Spa	ace	With 1,000-Space				
	Peak	Park	king Struc	ture	Parl	king Struc	ture	Parl	king Struc	ture	Parking Structure				
Intersection	Hour	Delay	or V/C	LOS	Delay	or V/C	LOS	Delay	or V/C	LOS			LOS		
2 23rd Street &	AM	*	*	F	*	**	F	*	*	F	**		F		
Airport Avenue [a]	PM	1	9	С	1	9	С	1	8	С	1	8	С		
(overall intersection operation)	AM	6	3	Α	(6	Α	6		Α	6	3	Α		
(overall intersection operation)	PM	1	1	Α		1	Α		1	Α	-	1	Α		
*9 Bundy Drive &	AM	0.8	390	D	3.0	391	D	0.9	913	Е	0.9	917	Е		
Airport Avenue	PM	1.1	60	F	1.1	1.180		1.061		F	1.067		F		
14 Bundy Drive &	AM	0.4	149	Α	0.457		Α	0.714		С	0.7	716	С		
SMC Driveway	PM	0.8	861	D	0.8	366	D	0.9	949	Е	0.9	967	Е		

- - ** Indicates oversaturated conditions. Delay cannot be
 - [a] Intersection is two-way stop controlled. Level of service is based on average vehicular delay in seconds per vehicle for the most constrained approach. Delay is also reported for the intersection overall.
 - [b] Intersection is all-way stop controlled.
 - [c] Intersection is two-way stop controlled under Alternatives 1 & 2. Under these alternatives, level of service is based on average vehicular delay in seconds per vehicle for the most constrained approach. Delay is also reported for the intersection overall.