



A meeting of the Santa Monica Community College District Planning and Advisory Council (DPAC) was held on Wednesday, **January 24, 2018** at Santa Monica College, Drescher Hall 300-E (the Loft), 1900 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California.

I. Call to Order – 3:10 p.m.

II. Members Present

Teresita Rodriguez, Administration, Chair Designee
Mike Tuitasi, Administration Representative
Mitra Moassessi, Academic Senate Representative
Chris Bonvenuto, Management Association President
Erica LeBlanc, Management Association Representative
Peter Morse, Faculty Association President
Tracey Ellis, Faculty Association Representative
Robert Villanueva, CSEA President
Martha Romano, CSEA Representative

Others Present

Kathryn Jeffery
Dan Rojas
Lee Peterson

III. Motion was made by Mitra Moassessi and seconded by Chris Bonvenuto to approve the minutes of the DPAC meeting on January 10, 2018. *Unanimously approved.*

IV. Reports

A. Planning Subcommittees

- Budget Planning: Chris Bonvenuto and Matt Hotsinpiller, Co-Chairs: Chris Bonvenuto reported on the Governor's Proposed 2018-2019 Budget and the 2017-2018 Quarterly Budget, as of December 31, 2017 (reports are attached).
- College Services Planning: Mike Tuitasi and Analaura Paiva, Co-Chairs: No report
- Facilities Planning: Emil Zordilla and Lee Peterson, Co-Chairs: No report
- Human Resources Planning: Tre-Shawn Hall-Baker and Kymberlyn McBride, Co-Chairs – No report
- Technology Planning: Dan Rojas and Walter Meyer, Co-Chairs: 2018 Goals and Recommendations were approved and will be presented to DPAC at the next meeting.

B. Academic Senate Joint Committees

- Curriculum: Brenda Antrim, Chair and Jennifer Merlic, Vice-Chair: No report
- Program Review: Vicki Drake, Chair and Erica LeBlanc, Vice-Chair: No report
- Student Affairs: Bea Magallon, Chair, and Esau Tovar, Vice-Chair: No report
- Institutional Effectiveness: Elisa Meyer, Chair, and Hannah Lawler, Vice-Chair: No report

C. Associated Students: No report

D. Superintendent/President's Report:

- DPAC members are encouraged to focus on the big picture affecting the college as a whole. Discussions should not focus on issues affecting a group or individual, but should focus on major topics driving the college.
- There needs to be regular assessment of progress on the strategic plan, and a mechanism for communicating updates to the college community needs to be developed and implemented. This will also help inform us as we plan for accreditation.
- Decisions regarding staffing are being made by reviewing priorities, considering existing data and having follow-up on conversations with staff. The PBAR committee has met to review requests for classified positions. The request for faculty positions has been reviewed and a response was sent to Academic Senate President Nate Donahue.
- The college is facing major changes as several issues converge and priorities shift (e.g., the governor's proposed new funding structures and the new online community college).

IV. Agenda

- A. Discussion with DPAC Subcommittee Chairs: Role of and Charges to DPAC Subcommittees. This discussion item was deferred to the DPAC meeting on February 28th.

VI. Adjournment: 4:25 p.m.

Meeting schedule through June, 2016 (second and fourth Wednesdays each month at 3 p.m.)

2017-2018

February 14, 28

March 14, 28

April 11, 25

May 9, 23

June 13, 27

Governance Structure meetings

VII. Council of Presidents Meeting

The Council of Presidents set the agenda for the February 14, 2018 DPAC Governance Structure meeting.

- A. Governance Structure: Drafts of Planning Schedules

Santa Monica College
 Analysis of the Governor's Proposed 2018-2019 Budget Proposal
 Compared to the 2017-2018 Enacted State Budget

January Proposal

Projections are Preliminary and Will Be Updated As More Specific Information is Released

Unrestricted General Fund

<u>Program</u>	<u>17-18 Enacted</u>	<u>18-19 Proposed</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Access/Growth	\$ 554,686	\$ 535,379	\$ (19,307)

The Governor has proposed \$60 million or 1% systemwide growth funding. In 2017-2018, the District received a growth allocation of 0.5% which was less than the 1% systemwide growth allocation due to the inconsistencies inherent in the Growth Formula. Under the current Growth Formula the District is projected to be eligible for only 0.5% growth funding in 2018-2019 which equates to approximately 100 credit resident FTES (Full-time Equivalent Students) or \$535,379. Due to a continued decline in enrollment, in 2018-2019 the District will "rebase" FTES from 22,257.88 credit resident FTES, including borrowed FTES, to a projected 20,024.20 credit resident FTES which is projected to result in a loss of apportionment funding of approximately ~~\$6,515,851~~. Under current apportionment funding rules the District will be eligible to "restore" the entire 2,233.68 FTES loss at full funding between 2018-2019 and 2020-2021 without constraint. After 2020-2021 the rebase will become permanent and the District will again be constrained in the amount of growth that will be funded. As the District is experiencing an enrollment decline the actual growth funding expected to be realized in 2018-2019 will be \$0.00.

<u>Program</u>	<u>17-18 Enacted</u>	<u>18-19 Proposed</u>	<u>Difference</u>
COLA	\$ 1,812,095	\$ 3,122,778	\$ 1,310,683

For 2018-2019 the Governor has proposed a 2.51% Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) (\$161.2 M System wide) which is projected to result in \$3,122,778 of additional ongoing funding. In 2017-2018 there was a 1.56% COLA.

<u>Program</u>	<u>17-18 Enacted</u>	<u>18-19 Proposed</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Increase to Base	\$ 3,263,846	\$ -	\$ (3,263,846)

In 2017-2018 the State provided \$183.6 M System wide to increase base funding with the intent to "cover increasing operating costs, especially rising pension costs." The Governor's January Proposal includes no such adjustment to base funding in 2018-2019.

<u>Program</u>	<u>17-18 Enacted</u>	<u>18-19 Proposed</u>	<u>Difference</u>
New Funding Formula	N/A	\$ -	\$ -

In his January budget the Governor's has included a proposal to change the way Districts are funded. Under the current funding formula, SB 361, Districts are primarily funded based on FTES served. Under the Governor's proposed funding formula Districts would be funded as follows: 50% of funding would be based on FTES served. 25% of funding would be based on two factors: 1) enrollment of students who receive a College Promise Grant fee waiver (Formally known as the Board of Governors Waiver or BOG); 2) enrollment of students who receive a Pell grant. And the final 25% of funding would be based on the following three factors 1) the number of degrees and certificates granted; 2) the number of students who complete a degree or certificate in 3 years or less; 3) each Associate Degree for Transfer granted. To facilitate the transition to the new funding formula the Governor has proposed \$175 M systemwide which would only be distributed if the new funding formula is enacted by the Legislature. Due to a lack of details provided by the Governor in his January budget proposal the District cannot project how the \$175 M in funding will be distributed to Districts until the release of the Budget Trailer Bill in February. One possibility is that the funding will be distributed as a base adjustment, in which case the District would receive a projected \$3,216,192 in additional ongoing funding. Another possibility is that the \$175 M represents the amount the Governor believes will be needed to cover the increased funding for Districts whom benefit under the proposed funding formula. Business Services will provide a further update once the Budget Trailer Bill is released.

Calculation of Effect on Districts Revenue in 2018-2019

<i>Available Increases:</i>	
Growth Funding:	\$ 535,379
COLA:	\$ 3,122,778
Total Available Increases to Revenue:	\$ 3,658,157
<i>LESS:</i>	
Loss of Apportionment Due to Rebase of FTES:	\$ (6,515,851)
Growth Funding That Will Not Be Earned:	\$ (535,379)
Total Decreases to Revenue:	\$ (7,051,230)
Total 2018-2019 Change in Unrestricted Funding:	\$ (3,393,073)

Restricted General Fund

<u>Program</u>	<u>17-18 Enacted</u>	<u>18-19 Proposed</u>	<u>Difference</u>
EOPS	\$ 20,523	\$ 31,369	\$ 10,846
CARE	\$ 1,385	\$ 2,117	\$ 732
DSPS	\$ 28,888	\$ 44,157	\$ 15,269
CalWORKs	\$ 4,176	\$ 7,571	\$ 3,395

The Governor's proposal provides a total of \$7.3 million systemwide to provide COLA adjustments to EOPS, CARE, DSPS and CalWORKs. This will result in \$85,214 in additional funding for these four categorical programs.

<u>Program</u>	<u>17-18 Enacted</u>	<u>18-19 Proposed</u>	<u>Difference</u>
PPIE Block Grant	\$ 1,321,564	\$ 5,092,991	\$ 3,771,427

For 2018-2019 the Governor has proposed \$275.2 M (2017-2018 allocation was \$76.9 M) in one-time funding for the Physical Plant and Instructional Equipment program. This is one-time funding and is restricted to use for instructional equipment and scheduled maintenance on facilities.

Total On-Going Increase in Restricted Funding: \$ 85,214
Total One-Time Increase in Restricted Funding: \$ 5,092,991
Total 2018-2019 Increase in Restricted Funding: \$ 5,178,205

Budget Proposals Not Included in the Above Calculations

Items in the Governor's Budget designated for the CCCCO/System as a whole:

- 1) CCCCO - \$120 M to establish a fully online community college.
- 2) CCCCO - \$2 M to fill fifteen vacant positions at the Chancellor's Office.

Items in the Governor's Budget that were not included in the calculation above as the Governor did not provide enough information in his budget proposal to project the effect on the District:

- 3) Restricted - \$32.9 M to support the consolidation of the Full-Time Student Success Grant and the Completion Grant programs.
- 4) Restricted - \$25.5 M to support the Adult Education Block Grant program including \$5 million to develop a data collection and accountability system for regional consortia members.
- 5) Restricted - \$2 M in one-time funding for the Strong Workforce program aimed at increasing the number of certified nursing assistants receiving training from the program.

Items in the Governor's Budget that were not included in the calculation above as funding is competitive in nature and not guaranteed or is not revenue generating:

- 6) Unrestricted - Awards for Innovation in Higher Education - \$20 million for competitive awards focusing on innovations that close the equity gap.

7) Unrestricted - \$46M to implement the California College Promise program (AB 19). This funding will allow Districts to waive enrollment fees for students who meet certain criteria. As the purpose of this funding is to replace the lost revenue that results from the waiving of enrollment fees this proposal will not result in additional unrestricted funding.

8) Restricted - \$48.4 M to support apprenticeship programs. The District currently does not offer such a program.