SANTA MONICA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE U, MEASURE S, AND MEASURE AA GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

JUNE 30, 2016

SANTA MONICA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE U, MEASURE S, AND MEASURE AA GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2016

FINANCIAL AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report	2
Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bonds	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

14

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Financial Statement Findings	17
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	18

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Bond Project Summary	
Measure U	20
Measure S	21
Measure AA	22

FINANCIAL SECTION



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Santa Monica Community College District Santa Monica, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Santa Monica Community College District's (the District), Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) of the District at June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the District's General Obligation Bond Funds financial statements. The supplementary schedules are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary section is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA), and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 19, 2016, on our consideration of the District's Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting and compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Vauinek, Time, Day & Co., LLP.

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 19, 2016

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2016

	Measure U	Measure S	Measure AA	Total
ASSETS				
Investments	\$ 19,437,732	\$ 45,506,314	\$ 91,488,545	\$156,432,591
Accounts receivable	62,556	143,363	338,700	544,619
Total Assets	\$ 19,500,288	\$ 45,649,677	\$ 91,827,245	\$156,977,210
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE LIABILITIES Accounts payable	\$ 636,657	\$ 71,770	\$ 19,001,006	\$ 19,709,433
FUND BALANCE				
Restricted for capital projects	18,863,631	45,577,907	72,826,239	137,267,777
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 19,500,288	\$ 45,649,677	\$ 91,827,245	\$156,977,210

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

	Measure U Measure S N		Μ	Measure AA		Total		
REVENUES Interest income	\$	161,851	\$	376,880	\$	940,374	\$	1 470 105
Interest income	¢	101,031	¢	570,000	φ	940,374	φ	1,479,105
EXPENDITURES								
Supplies and materials		-		-		484		484
Services and operating expenditures		-		142,145		205,943		348,088
Capital outlay		2,548,483		5,941,136		60,535,327		69,024,946
Total Expenditures		2,548,483		6,083,281		60,741,754		69,373,518
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING OF YEAR	/	21,250,263		51,284,308		132,627,619	2	205,162,190
FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR	\$ 1	8,863,631	\$	45,577,907	\$	72,826,239	\$1	37,267,777

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) of the District used to account for Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA projects. These Funds were established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financial statements do not include the adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, as the District was not required to adopt GASB Statement No. 54 under the reporting requirements of GASB Statement No. 35.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

Fund Balance - Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bonds

As of June 30, 2016, the fund balance is classified as follows:

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Change in Accounting Principles

In February 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of *fair value* is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*. The objective of this Statement is to identify—in the context of the current governmental financial reporting environment—the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The "GAAP hierarchy" consists of the sources of accounting principles used to prepare financial statements of State and local governmental entities in conformity with GAAP and the framework for selecting those principles. This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses the use of authoritative and non-authoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP.

This Statement supersedes GASB Statement No. 55, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In December 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 79, *Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain external investment pools and pool participants. Specifically, it establishes criteria for an external investment pool to qualify for making the election to measure all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. An external investment pool qualifies for that reporting if it meets all of the applicable criteria established in this Statement. The specific criteria address (1) how the external investment pool transacts with participants; (2) requirements for portfolio maturity, quality, diversification, and liquidity; and (3) calculation and requirements of a shadow price. Significant noncompliance prevents the external investment pool from measuring all of its investments at amortized cost for financial judgment is required to determine if instances of noncompliance with the criteria established by this Statement during the reporting period, individually or in the aggregate, were significant.

If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria established by this Statement, that pool should apply the provisions in paragraph 16 of GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, as amended. If an external investment pool meets the criteria in this Statement and measures all of its investments at amortized cost, the pool's participants also should measure their investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at an external investment, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at fair value, as provided in paragraph 11 of GASB Statement No. 31, as amended.

This Statement establishes additional note disclosure requirements for qualifying external investment pools that measure all of their investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes and for governments that participate in those pools. Those disclosures, for both the qualifying external investment pools and their participants, include information about any limitations or restrictions on participant withdrawals.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under *California Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes: securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Los Angeles County Investment Pool. The District maintains an investment of \$156,432,591 with the Los Angeles County Investment Pool, with an average maturity of 608 days.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the Los Angeles County Investment Pool is not required to be rated, nor has been rated as of June 30, 2016.

NOTE 3 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs, other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Los Angeles County Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

The District's fair value measurements are as follows at June 30, 2016:

Investment Type	Fair Value	Uncategorized
Los Angeles County Investment Pool	\$156,615,346	\$156,615,346

All assets have been valued using a market approach, with quoted market prices.

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

	Me	easure U	Measure S		Measure AA		Total	
Interest	\$	62,556	\$	143,363	\$	338,700	\$	544,619

NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

	Μ	leasure U	M	easure S	Measure AA	Total		
Capital outlay	\$	636,657	\$	71,770	\$ 19,001,006	\$ 19,709,433		

NOTE 6 - FUND BALANCE

Fund balance is composed of the following element:

	Measure U	Measure S	Measure AA	Total
Restricted				
Capital projects	\$ 18,863,631	\$ 45,577,907	\$ 72,826,239	\$137,267,777

NOTE 7 - CONSTRUCTION COMMITMENTS

As of June 30, 2016, the District was committed under various capital expenditure agreements for bond projects totaling approximately \$1.5 million, \$1.1 million, and \$49.8 million for Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA, respectively. In addition, projects totaling approximately \$78.0 million are committed and funded through a combination of Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) at June 30, 2016.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Santa Monica Community College District Santa Monica, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Santa Monica Community College District (the District) Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2016.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds specific to Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure S, and Measure S, and Measure S, and Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure

Vaurinek, Time, Day & Co., LLP.

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 19, 2016

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Financial Statement Findings.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

BOND PROJECT SUMMARY - MEASURE U JUNE 30, 2016

The District has identified the following projects to be funded with proceeds from the Measure U general obligation bonds. The District incurred costs of \$2,548,483 through June 30, 2016 for these construction projects. Expenditures were as follows:

	Total Project						Total Project	
		Project	Costs Through			2015-2016	Costs Through	
Project Name		Budget	Ju	ine 30, 2015	A	ctual Costs	June 30, 2016	
Real Property Acquisition - BAE Site	\$	30,280,878	\$	30,280,878	\$	-	\$	30,280,878
Real Property Acquisition - Emeritus College		9,603,782		8,909,940		-		8,909,940
Real Property Acquisition - 1738 Pearl Street		749,208		749,208		-		749,208
North Quad Plaza - Relocation of PE Building		2,797,033		2,797,033		-		2,797,033
Temporary Facilities - Math Village		1,458,690		1,458,690		-		1,458,690
Site Improvements - Bundy Campus		4,170,264		4,170,264		-		4,170,264
Site Improvements - Bundy West Building		19,709,741		19,709,741		-		19,709,741
Renovation of Main Stage Theater		19,544,314		19,544,314		-		19,544,314
Replacement Liberal Arts - Liberal Arts North		12,507,725		6,505,400		-		6,505,400
Student Services - Relocation of Music		4,629,484		2,011,371		-		2,011,371
Replacement Liberal Arts - Liberal Arts South		16,733,220		6,108,150		-		6,108,150
Real Property Acquisition - Off-Site Parking		18,969,509		18,969,509		-		18,969,509
Construction of North Quad Plaza		11,388,463		11,388,463		-		11,388,463
Student Services Center		130,224,164		6,088,896		2,548,483		8,637,379
Replacement Math and Science Extension Building		87,944,336		308,336		-		308,336
Technology and Infrastructure Improvements		4,003,084		4,003,084		-		4,003,084
Modernization of Restrooms		17,501		17,501		-		17,501
Facilities Master Planning		2,110,430		2,110,430		-		2,110,430
Literacy Center - 14th and Pico		319,242		319,242		-		319,242
Project Totals	\$	377,161,068	\$	145,450,450	\$	2,548,483	\$	147,998,933

BOND PROJECT SUMMARY - MEASURE S JUNE 30, 2016

The District has identified the following projects to be funded with proceeds from the Measure S general obligation bonds. The District incurred costs of \$6,083,281 through June 30, 2016 for these construction projects and related costs. Expenditures were as follows:

		Total Project		Total Project
	Project	Project Costs Through 2015-2016		Costs Through
Project Name	Budget	June 30, 2015	Actual Costs	June 30, 2016
Retrofit of Field Space - Corsair Field	\$ 4,440,065	\$ 4,372,535	\$ -	\$ 4,372,535
Retrofit of Field Space - John Adams	2,969,807	2,969,807	-	2,969,807
Performing Arts Complex	40,690,201	37,697,625	-	37,697,625
Replacement Health/PE/Fitness Building	51,643,399	6,827,669	4,775,742	11,603,411
Early Childhood Development Facility	15,520,176	548,965	485,344	1,034,309
Malibu Site Acquisition and Facility	25,000,000	7,143,485	232,986	7,376,471
Energy Efficiency Projects	510,683	293,361	-	293,361
Satellite Campus Parking Facilities and Roadway Improvements	6,427,122	3,879,047	12,700	3,891,747
Parking Garage, Grounds and Equipment -				
Student Services	130,224,164	15,533,736	94,029	15,627,765
Grounds Improvements - Pico Promenade	1,018,009	1,018,009	-	1,018,009
Facilities Master Planning	716,428	716,428	-	716,428
Bond Related Expenses - Project Management	609,282	322,197	36,922	359,119
Real Property Acquisition	45,597	45,597	-	45,597
Signage Safety/Information	706,223	256,537	445,558	702,095
Cost of Issuance	100,000			
Project Totals	280,621,156	81,624,998	6,083,281	87,708,279
Arbitrage Expense		420,007		420,007
Totals	\$ 280,621,156	\$ 82,045,005	\$ 6,083,281	\$ 88,128,286

BOND PROJECT SUMMARY - MEASURE AA JUNE 30, 2016

The District has identified the following projects to be funded with proceeds from the Measure AA general obligation bonds. The District incurred costs of \$60,741,754 through June 30, 2016 for these construction projects. Expenditures were as follows:

	Project	Total Project Costs Through	2015-2016	Total Project Costs Through	
Project Name	Budget	June 30, 2015	Actual Costs	June 30, 2016	
Utility Infrastructure and Technology	\$ 11,206,164	\$ 883,928	\$ 1,507,395	\$ 2,391,323	
Infrastructure and Technology - Information Technology Relocation	24,399,627	20,865,221	2,091,584	22,956,805	
Media and Technology Complex	110,055,056	47,058,590	26,540,056	73,598,646	
Replacement Health/PE/Fitness/Dance Building with Central Plant	58,272,030	5,931,324	15,858,992	21,790,316	
Drescher - Academic Modernization, Bookstore, Pico Promenade	5,082	5,082	-	5,082	
Replacement Math and Science Extension Building	40,401,286	4,950	-	4,950	
Madison East Wing Seismic Upgrade	27,608,816	9,484,666	10,154,894	19,639,560	
Renovation of Corsair Stadium	35,299	35,299	-	35,299	
Malibu Education Improvements	25,000,000	-	-	-	
Business and Facilities Improvements	1,968,124	1,967,019	1,105	1,968,124	
Facilities Master Planning	750,000	76,165	139,302	215,467	
Environmental Performance Improvements	3,508,088	3,016,773	-	3,016,773	
Community Classroom and Facility Projects	3,162,183	3,162,183	-	3,162,183	
Real Property Acquisition	14,802,902	14,802,902	-	14,802,902	
Roadways, Walkways, Grounds, Parking Lots and Garages	6,805,670	2,130,670	-	2,130,670	
Infrastructure and Technology - Technology	2,434,417	2,424,944	9,473	2,434,417	
Infrastructure and Technology - Utility	27,753	27,753		27,753	
Emergency Lighting, Fire Alarm, and Security System	10,320,794	4,089,772	4,438,953	8,528,725	
Student Services	130,224,164				
Project Totals	\$ 470,987,455	\$ 115,967,241	\$ 60,741,754	\$ 176,708,995	

See Independent Auditor's Report.

SANTA MONICA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE U, MEASURE S, AND MEASURE AA General Obligation Bonds Performance Audit

JUNE 30, 2016

PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	4
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	6



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Santa Monica Community College District Santa Monica, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Santa Monica Community College District's (the District) Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Vaurinek, Thine, Day & Co., LLP.

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 19, 2016

JUNE 30, 2016

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The general obligation bonds associated with Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code*, and other applicable provisions of law.

In March 2002, a general obligation bond proposition (Measure U) of the District was approved by the voters of the District. Measure U authorized the District to issue up to \$160 million of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects, and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions.

In November 2004, a general obligation bond proposition (Measure S) of the District was approved by the voters of the District. Measure S authorized the District to issue up to \$135 million of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects, and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions.

In November 2008, a general obligation bond proposition (Measure AA) of the District was approved by the voters of the District. Measure AA authorized the District to issue up to \$295 million of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects, and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions.

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the Bonds issued under Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at each Election, which include the financing of the construction and modernization of certain District property and facilities, the acquisition of equipment and to pay the costs of issuance associated with the Bonds. The proceeds from the Bonds are to be used for projects such as modernization of college technology, upgrading and modernization of college infrastructure, including classrooms and labs, and building new teaching and learning spaces. All projects to be funded under the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bonds must be included in the Board of Trustees' approved Facilities Master Plan, which details the scope of work to be done for each project.

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.

JUNE 30, 2016

- 2. The community college district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the community college district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds were in support of Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2016, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

JUNE 30, 2016

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the period July 1 2015 through June 30, 2016, for the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included transactions totaling \$49,446,725. This represents 71 percent of the total expenditures of \$69,373,518.

	De	Dollar Value of		Total Project	Percentage of Total	
Fund	Tran	Transactions Tested		Expenditures	Expenditures	
Measure U	\$	1,808,017	\$	2,548,483	71%	
Measure S	\$	5,517,558	\$	6,083,281	91%	
Measure AA	\$	42,121,150	\$	60,741,754	69%	
Total	\$	49,446,725	\$	69,373,518	71%	

3. Based on our testing, we verified that funds from the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) were expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Santa Monica Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. There were no salaries of administrators charged to Measure U, Measure S, and Measure AA General Obligation Bond Funds for District general administration or operations.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.